



OVAL – language for experts

Matěj Týč, Marek Haičman
Security Compliance @ Red Hat

Contents

- How is it to get started w. OVAL.
- What are the first impressions of OVAL newbie.
- OVAL and true security.

Where to learn about OVAL?

- oval.mitre.org: Allegedly “archived”, contains lang reference
- ovalproject.github.io: Has tutorial, but no reference docs.
- oval.cisecurity.org: Not a learning resource

- Github CISecurity
- Github OVAL-Community
- Github OVALProject

Bad signal-to-noise ratio

- The Tutorial example (only packages from the whitelist are allowed) ~ 60 lines without comments.

Bad signal-to-noise ratio

```
-<oval_definitions xsi:schemaLocation=" http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5 oval-definitions-schema.xsd http://o
http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-common-5 oval-common-schema.xsd http://oval.mitre.org/XMLSchema/oval-definitions-5#un
definitions-schema.xsd">
-  <generator>
    <oval:product_name>Tutorial Example Generator</oval:product_name>
    <oval:schema_version>5.11</oval:schema_version>
    <oval:timestamp>2014-12-21T04:42:18.845-05:00</oval:timestamp>
  </generator>
-  <definitions>
    <definition id="oval:tutorial:def:1" version="1" class="compliance">
      <metadata>
        <title>RPM WhiteList</title>
        <description>Fail if anything not on the whitelist is installed</description>
      </metadata>
      <criteria>
        <criterion comment="Test to check that only listed packages are installed." test_ref="oval:tutorial:tst:1"/>
      </criteria>
    </definition>
  </definitions>
-  <tests>
    <rpminfo_test id="oval:tutorial:tst:1" version="1" check="all" check_existence="none_exist" comment="all packages">
      <object object_ref="oval:tutorial:obj:2"/>
    </rpminfo_test>
  </tests>
-  <objects>
    <rpminfo_object id="oval:tutorial:obj:2" version="1" comment="Filtered Packages">
      <name datatype="string" operation="pattern match">.*</name>
      <oval-def:filter action="exclude">oval:tutorial:ste:1</oval-def:filter>
    </rpminfo_object>
  </objects>
-  <states>
    <rpminfo_state id="oval:tutorial:ste:1" version="1">
      <name datatype="string" operation="equals" var_ref="oval:tutorial:var:1"/>
    </rpminfo_state>
  </states>
-  <variables>
    <constant_variable id="oval:tutorial:var:1" version="1" datatype="string" comment="Package Names">
      <value>termcap</value>
      <value>auditd</value>
      <value>libselinux</value>
    </constant_variable>
  </variables>
</oval_definitions>
```

Bad signal-to-noise ratio

- The Tutorial example (only packages from the whitelist are allowed) ~ 60 lines without comments.
- Too much for a Hello World example!
- Equivalent Python code: 10 lines of self-explanatory code.

Difficult concepts

- Variables are lists.
- Tests have `check` and `check_existence`. `check` is required, but it is not used if there is no state in the test.

Difficult concepts

- Variables are lists.
- Tests have `check` and `check_existence`. `check` is required, but it is not used if there is no state in the test.
- criteria use the extended logic (pass, fail, notchecked, ...) with AND / OR / NOT operators.
- OVAL can reference other variables, tests and so on – without a supportive tooling, it is hard to have everything at one place.

Language vision

- OVAL is more fine-grained.
 - Python: assert **a** == **b**
 - OVAL: There is a **test** that **object a** conforms to **state b** using the equality relation.
- But not always:
 - OVAL: textfilecontent54 object determines files to be examined AND regular expression.
 - Python: Files and a function evaluating them would be separate entities.

Language vision

- C: Functions return error code, take pointers as arguments.
- C++: Compatible with C, but you have objects and a really wide range of possibilities how to do things.
- Python: import this # shows the Zen of Python
- OVAL: declarative language

Limitations – config. compliance

- Simple OVAL is OK to check for “default installation” errors or admin omissions.
- Configuration means config files, but textfilecontent test/object/state combinations are not powerful enough
 - Config file ordering issues
 - Multi-line comments
 - Include statements

New tests

For proper configuration compliance, much more special tests are needed. What about this:

- 1) SW authors expose config-parsing libraries.
- 2) Security Compliance SMEs formulate the object/state interfaces.
- 3) The developed test is shared with the community.
- 4) What about the OVAL sandbox?

Supportive tooling

- Provide test environment with scenarios.
- Extract rule's OVAL to one place.
- Visualize OVAL results.
- Debug OVAL evaluation.